



Served on Parties and
Delivered to U.S. EPA
on August 6, 2025

Green Eagle Railroad

Eagle Pass & Maverick County, Texas

Docket No. FD 36652

Volume I: Summary and Chapters 1-4

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT



LEAD AGENCY
Surface Transportation Board
Office of Environmental Analysis

COOPERATING AGENCY
United States Coast Guard

Decision ID No. 52673

APPLICANT
Green Eagle Railroad, LLC

VOLUME I
TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1 Purpose and Need	1-1
1.1 Introduction	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need.....	1-1
1.3 Role of the Board in Authorizing Railroad Lines	1-3
1.4 NEPA Process	1-3
Chapter 2 Proposed Action and Alternatives	2-1
2.1 Introduction	2-1
2.2 Background	2-2
2.3 Alternatives Analyzed in the EIS	2-6
2.4 Alternatives Considered but Eliminated from Detailed Study	2-19
2.5 Comparison of Build Alternatives and No-Action Alternative	2-20
Chapter 3 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.1 Freight Rail Safety	3-2
3.2 Grade Crossing Safety.....	3-7
3.3 Grade Crossing Delay	3-10
3.4 Roadway Capacity	3-15
3.5 Roadway Safety	3-22
3.6 Noise and Vibration	3-27
3.7 Air Quality	3-42
3.8 Energy	3-48
3.9 Cultural Resources	3-50
3.10 Biological Resources.....	3-54
3.11 Water Resources.....	3-66
3.12 Land Use	3-78
3.13 Visual Quality	3-85
3.14 Other Impacts.....	3-95
3.15 Short-Term Uses of the Environment Versus Long-Term Productivity	3-96
3.16 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	3-96

Chapter 4 Mitigation	4-1
4.1 Conditioning Power of the Board	4-1
4.2 Cooperating Agency Mitigation.....	4-1
4.3 Mitigation Process.....	4-2
4.4 OEA’s Recommended Mitigation Measures	4-2

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1. Agencies Invited to Be a Cooperating Agency.....	1-4
Table 2-1. Comparison of Alternatives.....	2-24
Table 3.1-1. UP and BNSF 5-Year Incident Rates for the Eagle Pass Subdivision [...]	3-4
Table 3.1-2. Number of Incidents per Alternative	3-6
Table 3.3-1. At-Grade Crossing Delay 2031 – Build Alternatives	3-14
Table 3.3-2. At-Grade Crossing Delay 2031 – No-Action Alternative	3-14
Table 3.4-1. 2024 Level of Service at Study Intersections	3-19
Table 3.4-2. 2031 Level of Service at Study Intersections with CMV Facility.....	3-20
Table 3.4-3. 2031 LOS at Intersection of CMV Facility Exit Road and FM 1589.....	3-21
Table 3.4-4. 2031 No-Action Alternative Level of Service at Study Intersections	3-22
Table 3.5-1. Roadway Safety Analysis Intersections and Road Segments.....	3-23
Table 3.5-2. Observed Roadway Crashes in Study Area (2017-2023).....	3-25
Table 3.5-3. 2024 AADT in Study Area	3-25
Table 3.5-4. 2031 AADT in Study Area.....	3-25
Table 3.5-5. 2031 Expected Crashes per Year.....	3-26
Table 3.6-1. Typical Construction Noise Levels	3-31
Table 3.7-1. Summary of Proposed Line Construction Emission Estimates [...]	3-44
Table 3.7-2. Summary of Associated CMV Facility Construction Emission Estimates [...]	3-45
Table 3.7-3. Summary of Operations Emissions Estimates	3-47
Table 3.10-1. Vegetation Species Observed in the Study Area	3-57

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1. Location Map	2-3
Figure 2-2. Proposed Line and Associated CMV Facility	2-8
Figure 2-3. Southern Rail Alternative and Supporting Facilities.....	2-11
Figure 2-4. Northern Rail Alternative and Supporting Facilities.....	2-12
Figure 2-5. Alternatives Considered but Dismissed	2-21
Figure 3.2-1. Public At-Grade Crossing Study Area	3-9

Figure 3.4-1. Eagle Pass Roadway Network.....	3-16
Figure 3.4-2. Study Intersections	3-17
Figure 3.5-1. Study Area Intersection and Segment Locations	3-24
Figure 3.6-1. Typical Noise Levels for Residential Areas.....	3-29
Figure 3.6-2. Typical Ground-Borne Vibration Levels	3-30
Figure 3.6-3. Study Area Existing Ambient Noise (DNL, dBA).....	3-32
Figure 3.6-4. Existing UP Mainline Noise Contour.....	3-33
Figure 3.6-5. Southern Rail Alternative Noise Contour [...]	3-35
Figure 3.6-6. UP Mainline Noise Contour Reduction and Benefited Receptors	3-37
Figure 3.6-7. Northern Rail Alternative Noise Contour [...]	3-39
Figure 3.6-8. Moving and Idling Truck Noise Level Contour	3-41
Figure 3.10-1. GER's Conceptual Design of Rail and Roadway Bridges [...]	3-63
Figure 3.11-1. Surface Waters Within the Survey Area	3-67
Figure 3.11-2. FEMA-Mapped 100-Year Floodplain [...]	3-71
Figure 3.12-1. Land Uses and Zoning Near the Proposed Line [...]	3-81
Figure 3.12-2. Property Displacements.....	3-82
Figure 3.13-1. Key Observation Point Locations.....	3-86
Figure 3.13-2. KOP 1 Pre-Construction.....	3-88
Figure 3.13-3. KOP 1 After Construction of the Southern Rail Alternative [...]	3-89
Figure 3.13-4. KOP 2 Pre-Construction.....	3-90
Figure 3.13-5. KOP 2 After Construction of the Southern Rail Alternative [...]	3-90
Figure 3.13-6. KOP 3 Pre-Construction.....	3-91
Figure 3.13-7. KOP 4 Pre-Construction.....	3-92
Figure 3.13-8. KOP 2 After Construction of the Northern Rail Alternative [...]	3-93
Figure 3.13-9. KOP 2 After Construction of the Northern Rail Alternative [...]	3-94